Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/045,979	KANEKO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	John P. Leubecker	3739
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this ap or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject t	plication. If not included n will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to April 19, 2004.		
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-17</u> .		. *
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>10 January 2002</u> are accepted by the	e Examiner.	
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 	been received. been received in Application No. \underline{o}	
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" on noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		complying with the requirements
 A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submi INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 	tted. Note the attached EXAMINER is reason(s) why the oath or declara	'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF ation is deficient.
 CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the 	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- Amendment / Comment or in the C 84(c)) should be written on the drawing to header according to 37 CFR 1.121(Office action of ngs in the front (not the back) of d).
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depose attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT F 	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL I FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGIC	must be submitted. Note the AL MATERIAL.
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Paper No./Mail Date 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Da 8), 7. ☐ Examiner's Amendr	te .
of Biological Material	9.	John P. Leubecker Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3739

Art Unit: 3739

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

1. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Claims 1, 2, 3, 16 and 17 are allowable for the reasons set forth in numbered paragraph 7 of the previous Office Action, paper number 8. Applicant's arguments filed April 19, 2004 with respect to independent claim 10 are persuasive (see page 8, line 16 to page 9, line 30). In view of Applicant's clarification of the issues, the Examiner now takes the position that even if the Sekiguchi device were to be modified to operate in the manner described by the Examiner in the rejection appearing in numbered paragraph 4 of paper number 8, the modified device would still not include elements to necessarily prevent imaging by the imaging device for special observation until the first mode for conventional observation is established. For instance, when power is supplied to the modified Sekiguchi device (i.e., turned on), there is no guarantee that imaging by the special observation imaging device is prevented in the minute amount of time that it takes to switch from one mode to the other in the event that the device was turned off in the fluorescent imaging mode. Thus, Sekiguchi, even as modified, fails to disclose the imaging prevention device and controller as claimed. It is believed that Applicant's arguments refer to such lacking in the Sekeguchi device. Therefore, the Examiner has withdrawn the previous rejection. The Examiner has also withdrawn the rejection of claim 12 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, since it is now understood that the imaging prevention device and controller operate in response to the turning OFF operation, and not in the OFF mode. All claims are therefore allowable.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

Art Unit: 3739

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P. Leubecker whose telephone number is (703) 308-0951. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 6:00 AM to 2:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C.M. Dvorak can be reached on (703) 308-0994. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John F. Leubecker Primary Examiner Art Unit 3739